

Table C.3. Academic Participants' Survey 2013-2014

Academic Participants End of course survey	
Please indicate to what degree you considered the following aspects of the course to be adequate (1 = not at all / 7 = completely so):	
Aspects of the programme	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content of course Structure of course Timing of course (in the academic calendar) Quality of the course methodology Quality and extent of work Value added by ESADE (may be answered by all participants) Value added by SDA Bocconi (may be answered by all participants) Value added by NYU Stern (may be answered by all participants) Value added by Sogang University (may be answered by all participants)
Please indicate to what degree you consider the value added by the different deliverables proposed to be adequate (1 = not at all / 7 = completely so):	
Deliverables	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strategy plan Definition of the scope of work Video progress report Final presentation Final report Weekly learning journals Final reflection paper
Please indicate the degree to which you found these resources adequate to enhance students' learning (1 = not at all / 7 = completely so):	
Programme resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality of the video-lectures Quality of materials and resources available Usefulness of the Deliverables' guidelines Usefulness of the GIM ePlatform Usefulness of the team Virtual Studios Usefulness of the Tutors' ePlatform
In general, I found the guidance provided from the course coordinators to be useful and complete (1 = not at all / 7 = completely so):	
Course coordination	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication (e-mails/communication via Moodle) (Distance) Meetings (number/content/relevance) Resources provided for assessment (Excel files, rubrics, etc...) Support provided regarding course planning and preparation (video lectures, power points)
What do you consider are the main takeaways for students participating in the GIM project?	
What do you consider are your main takeaways as project leader/tutor/member of the academic team in the GIM project?	
What do you consider the strengths of the course?	
Where do you see room for improvement?	